Subverting the Female *Bildungsroman*: Trauma, Epistemic Agency, and Memory in Tara Westover's *Educated*

Moussa OUATTARA,
Université Peleforo GON COULIBALY

Abstract

This article demonstrates how Tara Westover's memoir Educated subverts the traditional female Bildungsroman by replacing domestic settlement and marriage with epistemic agency as the true marker of maturity. Through a psychoanalytic, feminist, and historiographical framework, the study examines the Westover household as a closed communicative system sustained by systemic gaslighting, parentification, and Complex PTSD. It illustrates how Westover moves beyond this traumatic environment toward Isaiah Berlin's concept of positive liberty, using historical methodology to navigate her family's conflicting memories, a dynamic termed the "carousel of contradiction." Ultimately, the article argues that Westover redefines education as a form of psychoanalytic grief work, wherein the loss of original family ties becomes the necessary cost of intellectual agency and self-authorship, radically reimagining female subjectivity in contemporary American life-writing.
Keywords: *Bildungsroman, complex PTSD, epistemic agency, psychoanalysis, feminist critique*

Résumé

Cet article démontre comment le récit autobiographique de Tara Westover, Educated, bouleverse le Bildungsroman féminin traditionnel en remplaçant le mariage et la vie de famille par l'agentivité épistémique comme un véritable marqueur de la maturité. À travers un cadre critique psychanalytique, féministe et historiographique, l'étude analyse l'environnement familial des Westover comme un système communicatif clos, entretenu par le gaslighting, la parentification et le syndrome de stress post-traumatique complexe (C-PTSD). L'article illustre comment la protagoniste s'extrait de cet environnement traumatique pour tendre vers la liberté

positive théorisée par Isaiah Berlin. En mobilisant la méthodologie historique, elle navigue au cœur du « carrousel de contradiction » mémoriel de sa famille. En définitive, l'article soutient que Westover redéfinit l'éducation comme une forme de travail psychanalytique sur le deuil, dans lequel la perte des liens familiaux d'origine devient le prix à payer pour l'agentivité intellectuelle et l'écriture de sa propre histoire, réinventant ainsi radicalement la subjectivité féminine dans l'autobiographie américaine contemporaine.
***Mots-clés** : Bildungsroman, complexe PTSD, agentivité épistémique, psychanalyse, critique féministe*

Introduction

The female *Bildungsroman* has historically negotiated the tension between individual self-realization and patriarchal social integration. Where the classical coming-of-age narrative traditionally culminates in domestic settlement, emotional reconciliation, or the marriage plot, contemporary women's life-writing increasingly interrogates these conventional resolutions by centering intellectual autonomy and agency. In her memoir *Educated* (2018*)*, T. Westover radically reconfigures this generic trajectory by replacing domestic settlement with epistemic agency, the autonomous right to interpret, evaluate, and assert the truth of one's own lived reality. Emerging from an isolated Idaho household structured around religious fundamentalism and anti-institutional paranoia, Westover documents a transition from enforced silence to self-authored subjecthood.

This study investigates *Educated* as a structural and ideological subversion of the female *Bildungsroman*. Rather than framing education as a routine sequence of academic milestones or social mobility, this article asks: to what extent does Westover utilize historical methodology and psychoanalytic self-interpretation to navigate her family's conflicting memories, the "carousel of contradiction" (T.

Westover 2018, p. 383), and thereby substitute traditional domestic resolution with Isaiah Berlin's concept of positive liberty?

Recent scholarship on *Educated* has begun to parse its generic and thematic complexity. R. Kooijman (2020) reads the text as a generic hybrid that displaces the marriage plot with academic self-construction, while B. R. Warnick (2020) analyzes it philosophically as a Deweyan "reconstruction of experience" yielding intellectual self-governance. From a narratological perspective, C. Young (2023) highlights Westover's deployment of "re-mimesis," wherein emotional and "substantial truth" supersedes strict factual accuracy. Complementing these readings, A. Wright (2026) offers a clinical vantage point, demonstrating how the Westover home operated as a traumatizing closed system characterized by Complex PTSD and parentification.

While these studies illuminate critical facets of the memoir, this article synthesizes psychoanalytic theory, historiography, and feminist critique into a unified framework. It argues that education in *Educated* functions as a demanding form of psychoanalytic grief work. By placing P. Lejeune's "autobiographical pact" into conversation with P. J. Eakin's theories of "narrative identity", this study demonstrates how Westover resolves the structural tension between trauma and truth. Through historical methodology, she learns to hold irreconcilable family accounts in tension, establishing that the total loss of original familial enmeshment is the necessary cost of female epistemic freedom and self-authorship.

To achieve a rigorous textual evaluation, this study employs a three-tiered qualitative methodology combining psychoanalytic theory, feminist genre critique, and

historiographical text analysis. First, the primary narrative of *Educated* is analyzed through psychoanalytic and clinical family-systems frameworks. Diagnostic categories of Complex PTSD established by J. L. Herman (1992) and somatic trauma dynamics articulated by B. Van Der Kolk (2014) are mapped against Westover's textual descriptions of memory intrusion, hypervigilance, and spatial alienation. Simultaneously, family systems theories of parentification (I. Boszormenyi-Nagy 1973; S. Minuchin 1974) and Donald Winnicott's (1965) “False Self” construct are operationalized to decode the structural power dynamics of Buck's Peak.

Second, the text is evaluated within feminist literary traditions of the *Bildungsroman*. Applying S. Fraiman's (1993) genre model alongside M. Fricker's (2007) epistemological framework, the memoir is mapped against classical developmental trajectories to measure how Westover systematically dismantles conventional narrative expectations of romantic resolution and domestic reintegration.

Third, a historiographical textual analysis is conducted on Westover's narrative strategy. By examining the author's footnoting, inclusion of conflicting witness testimony, and structural deployment of archival reasoning, this study operationalizes P. Lejeune's (1989) autobiographical pact and C. Young's (2023) theory of re-mimesis. This methodology allows us to trace how historical methods convert raw, fragmented traumatic memory into authoritative, self-governing narrative subjecthood.

1. The Architecture of Silence: A Psychoanalytic Reading of the Closed System

1.1. Systemic Gaslighting and the Denial of Reality

In the Westover household, gaslighting functions not as an occasional tactic of interpersonal manipulation, but as the foundational epistemology of a closed communicative system. Within this domestic architecture, reality is not an empirically verifiable state experienced by the individual subject; rather, it is a dogmatic narrative dictated by paternal authority to enforce absolute enmeshment and preserve ideological compliance. To survive within this environment, the child is required to perform a radical act of cognitive self-repression, distrusting her own sensory perception and memory whenever they conflict with the patriarch's apocalyptic worldview.

T. Westover foregrounds this epistemic destabilization on the opening page of her memoir, framing her narrative identity around a structural doubt: "My strongest memory is not a memory. It's something I imagined, then came to remember as if it had happened" (T. Westover 2018, p. 7). This opening admission underscores the long-term psychological fallout of having one's reality continually overwritten by an external narrator. The primary author of this enforced fiction is her father, Gene, a self-appointed prophet whose paranoid delusions concerning state surveillance and divine judgment override observable physical facts. When severe physical trauma occurs, such as the recurring, gruesome injuries sustained in the family's scrapyard, Gene reframes these bodily crises not as systemic safety failures, but as spiritual trials. By declaring that "the Lord will tell you" (T. Westover 2018, p. 8), the father subsumes objective physical reality into a theological mandate, effectively rendering any individual claim to truth an act of heresy or disloyalty.

This systematic erasure of subjective perception creates what Westover terms the "carousel of contradiction" (T. Westover 2018, p. 383), a state of chronic narrative instability wherein family members offer mutually exclusive accounts of shared traumatic events. Psychoanalytically, this dynamic deprives the protagonist of a stable reflective surface during her formative years. Her internal selfhood is rendered, in her own formulation, "vacant by the fiction of invincibility" (T. Westover 2018, p. 228). Rather than viewing this vacancy as a permanent cognitive defect, however, Westover's life-writing demonstrates how the traumatized subject begins to reclaim agency by documenting these very contradictions. Rather than forcing an artificial, forensic harmonization onto her family's past, Westover deploys narrative as a tool of re-mimesis, capturing the "substantial truth" of emotional coercion and psychological erasure that defined her upbringing.

This dynamic parallels historical literary representations of fundamentalist enclosure and institutional gaslighting. In Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre* (1847), the oppressive religious fanaticism of Mr. Brocklehurst at Lowood School similarly weaponizes theological decrees to suppress female self-expression and invalidate subjective physical suffering. Like Jane, who must cultivate an internal moral compass independent of institutional orthodoxy, Westover must learn to separate objective physical reality from her father's dogmatic assertions.

1.2. Parentification and the Erosion of the Self

The architecture of silence in the Westover household relies upon a second structural pillar, parentification; this clinical phenomenon, first conceptualized in family systems theory by I. Boszormenyi-Nagy (1973) and extended by S. Minuchin (1974), describes a dynamic wherein a child is compelled to assume adult physical and emotional responsibilities long before

developing an autonomous ego. Boszormenyi-Nagy delineates two primary modes of this dynamic; instrumental parentification involves physical labor and domestic management, whereas emotional parentification requires the child to serve as an emotional buffer and psychological caregiver for damaged adults. Within the enclosed environment of Buck's Peak, this operational burden is deeply gendered. While Gene subjects his sons to hazardous industrial labor in the scrapyard, Tara's parentification functions through a double burden; she must perform both instrumental physical labor in the junkyard and emotional caregiving within the domestic sphere.

This compulsory adult labor begins in early childhood, where exposure to physical peril displaces ordinary developmental milestones. Westover notes this early alienation from standard childhood experience: "I am only seven, but I understand that it is this fact, more than any other, that makes my family different: we don't go to school" (T. Westover 2018, p. 8). In the scrapyard, Gene treats his youngest daughter not as a vulnerable child requiring protection, but as an interchangeable unit of industrial labor. This systematic neglect of bodily safety forces Tara to internalize her father's disregard for physical pain, blurring the boundaries between healthy self-preservation and mandatory obedience.

However, emotional parentification becomes most acute in the domestic sphere, where Tara is expected to absorb and soothe the violent volatility of the men in her family. Following her brother Shawn's severe brain injury, the responsibility for his physical recovery and emotional stabilization falls directly upon her shoulders. She recalls this complete subjugation of her own needs: "so to make it up to him I quit my job and tended him day and night. When he wanted water, I fetched it; if he was hungry, I cooked" (T. Westover 2018, p. 132). Here, the patriarch and

the damaged older brother outsource their physical and emotional regulation to the youngest daughter. Tara acts as nurse, housekeeper, and emotional buffer, effectively performing the domestic duties her injured mother cannot fulfill.

D. W. Winnicott's (1965) psychoanalytic formulation of the “True Self and False Self” provides a compelling framework for understanding the internal fallout of this severe parentification. Winnicott posits that when a child's authentic perceptions are continually subjugated to satisfy parental psychological needs, the child develops a “False Self” to preserve basic survival at the expense of authentic selfhood. By forcing a young girl to operate as the primary emotional stabilizer of a violent household, the family system systematically erodes her capacity for autonomous reflection. Her psychological bandwidth is consumed entirely by reading her father's ideological weather and soothing her brother's violent outbursts. Consequently, when Westover enters higher education, the absence of this caretaking role produces a marked existential void. Deprived of the enmeshed family structure that defined her utility, she experiences a severe identity crisis, describing a persistent sense of impersonation that shadows her early years at university. The hypervigilance and domestic servitude required for survival at Buck's Peak together produce a Winnicottian erosion of the self, demonstrating that parentification functions not merely as familial duty, but as an effective mechanism of epistemic disenfranchisement.

1.3. Complex PTSD as a Narrative Framework

To fully comprehend how trauma structures both Westover’s childhood experience and the formal organization of her memoir, her psychological state must be contextualized through J. L. Herman’s (1992) diagnostic framework of Complex Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (C-PTSD). Unlike classic PTSD,

which typically stems from a single discrete event, Herman conceptualizes C-PTSD as the cumulative psychological fallout of prolonged, systemic captivity within closed interpersonal systems where victim escape is physically or psychologically precluded. In *Educated*, the Westover homestead functions as precisely such a totalizing institution. The structural trauma experienced by the protagonist is not merely episodic, produced by physical accidents or isolated violent assaults; rather, it is chronic, relational, and sustained by an omnipresent climate of ideological control.

In clinical trauma theory, B. Van Der Kolk (2014) emphasizes that prolonged traumatic stress profoundly alters how memory is encoded and retrieved; trauma impairs the mind's ability to construct a coherent, linear narrative, leaving the survivor with fragmented sensory impressions, hypervigilance, and somatic intrusions. In Westover's life-writing, this clinical dynamic manifests as both a psychological burden and an organizing aesthetic principle. Her narrative continually captures the hypervigilant cognitive processing required to survive inside Buck's Peak. She describes how the threat of violence from her brother Shawn, combined with her father's apocalyptic fatalism, forces her into a state of perpetual bodily readiness and self-monitoring. Even after physically removing herself to Brigham Young University and Cambridge, the bodily and emotional symptoms of C-PTSD persist; night terrors, panic attacks, and sudden episodes of dissociation disrupt her academic progress, demonstrating that spatial exit from the closed system does not immediately dismantle its psychological internalizations.

Furthermore, C. Caruth’s (1996) psychoanalytic trauma theory provides valuable insight into the text's formal structure. She asserts that trauma is characterized by its delayed entry into consciousness, functioning as an unassimilated event that can

only be understood through repetitive, retroaction-driven narrative return. Westover's memoir directly mirrors this dynamic. The text does not offer a smooth, triumphantly linear trajectory from ignorance to enlightenment. Instead, it is organized around recurring scenes of terror, bodily injury, and reality denial that Westover must re-examine multiple times through different interpretive lenses. By foregrounding her own intrusive flashbacks and moments of self-doubt within the narrative structure, Westover transforms C-PTSD from a debilitating psychiatric condition into an active methodology of life-writing. The memoir becomes the very site where traumatic fragmentation is transformed into narrative coherence, establishing that her ultimate liberation depends upon her ability to formally organize and bear witness to her own past.

A compelling literary point of comparison exists in M. Angelou's *I Know Why the Caged Bird Sings* (1969). Following severe childhood trauma and sexual violation, Angelou's young protagonist enters a period of selective mutism, utilizing silence as a protective boundary before finding her literary voice. Similarly, Westover's initial response to C-PTSD and domestic tyranny involves an internal mutism, a suppression of subjective speech that can only be unlocked when formal intellectual tools allow her to translate bodily trauma into structured language.

2. Subverting the Quest: Education, Positive Liberty, and Epistemic Agency

2.1. Moving Beyond the Marriage Plot

The traditional female *Bildungsroman*, as defined in feminist literary scholarship by S. Fraiman (1993), historically presents a constrained model of development wherein female maturity is conditioned upon social integration, emotional compromise, and domestic attachment. Where the male coming-of-age narrative

rewards geographical movement, intellectual independence, and public authority, the classical female quest trajectory frequently culminates in marriage or a retreat into the domestic sphere, effectively framing female selfhood as relational rather than autonomous. In *Educated*, T. Westover structurally dismantles this generic inheritance, redirecting the heroine's quest away from marital alignment and toward what philosopher M. Fricker (2007) terms epistemic agency; the recognized capacity of a subject to act as a legitimate knower and interpreter of her own experience.

In her analysis of contemporary life-writing conventions, R. Kooijman (2020) highlights how Westover deliberately refuses the traditional romantic arc that might otherwise anchor her transition into mainstream society. Throughout her years at Brigham Young University and Cambridge, suitors and potential romantic partners appear not as agents of liberation or domestic resolution, but as vectors through which paternal and patriarchal surveillance threatens to re-assert itself. When male acquaintances attempt to offer protection or domestic stability, Westover consistently recognizes the implicit demand for submission that accompanies these gestures, choosing instead the existential vulnerability of intellectual self-determination. By refusing to let romantic reconciliation resolve her narrative crisis, Westover subverts the ideological core of the domestic novel, establishing that female maturity cannot be gifted through attachment, but must be forged through cognitive autonomy.

This structural departure from the marriage plot allows Westover to redefine the fundamental objective of female education. As philosophical scholar B. R. Warnick (2020) observes, education in *Educated* does not function merely as a credentialing process or a mechanism for social mobility; rather, it operates as a sweeping reconstruction of the subject's internal

framework. By substituting domestic enclosure with academic self-authorship, Westover shifts the measure of developmental success from societal integration to epistemic independence. Her narrative movement is not inward toward the hearth, but outward into the public sphere of historical critique and intellectual inquiry. Through this generic reconfiguration, Westover demonstrates that for the traumatized female subject, true coming-of-age requires rejecting the comforting closures of the marriage plot in favor of the precarious, unmapped territory of intellectual self-governance.

In J. Winterson's semi-autobiographical novel *Oranges Are Not the Only Fruit* (1985), the protagonist similarly rejects both heteronormative romantic containment and the suffocating religious dogma of her adoptive mother. Winterson subverts the female quest narrative by choosing exile and lesbian self-realization over evangelical conformity. Westover extends this feminist tradition, demonstrating that leaving the fundamentalist domestic enclave requires refusing patriarchal romantic rescue in favor of uncompromised intellectual independence.

2.2. The "Starter Dream" and Atmospheric Counterpoints

The initial fissure within the Westover family's closed communicative system emerges through what Westover terms a "starter dream"; this concept represents a localized, instinctual pull toward an external reality that compels the subject to exercise autonomous desire. For the protagonist, this initial movement manifests through choral music and the self-directed study of physics, both of which operate as crucial atmospheric counterpoints to the emotional and physical disorder of Buck's Peak. In an environment where verbal language is monopolized by paternal dogma and where historical memory is perpetually overwritten through domestic gaslighting, these non-paternal disciplines provide the protagonist with an alternative

epistemological baseline. Singing affords Westover her first taste of a community organized around structured discipline, collaborative harmony, and aesthetic precision. Its “hopeful tune” (T. Westover 2018, p. 62) stands in stark, immediate contrast to her father's “articulate vortex of suffering,” providing an atmospheric alternative that proves another mode of existence is possible.

These early encounters with external disciplines initiate what B. R. Warnick (2020) calls a gradual reconstruction of experience, allowing the traumatized child to sense an objective world beyond paternal authority. Physics and mathematics offer a similar cognitive refuge, albeit on a formal, scientific register. In a household where Gene Westover's paranoid convictions dictate what counts as real, the fixed, impersonal laws of physical science hint that "reality was not wholly volatile" and that, “perhaps it could be made to make sense” (T. Westover 2018, p. 145). Working through physics in isolation allows Westover to cultivate what P. J. Eakin (1999) characterizes as narrative agency; she begins the deliberate process of increasing her own awareness of her intellectual limitations, establishing the foundational prerequisite for critical thinking.

This epistemic shift requires an exacting performance of bodily and mental discipline. Westover recalls the arduous routine required to bypass her father's control. This early self-instruction, in R. Kooijman's (2020) generic reading of the memoir, marks the point where intellectual desire eclipses domestic submission. The solitary labor of deciphering scientific formulas instills in the young protagonist “the skill I was learning was a crucial one, the patience to read things I could not yet understand” (T. Westover 2018, p. 75), a cognitive patience that fundamentally expands her mental horizon. By mastering abstract systems that remain indifferent to her father's apocalyptic decrees, Westover constructs a private intellectual

sanctuary. In time, this “starter dream” weakens the spatial and psychological hold of Buck's Peak, leaving her with the irrevocable realization that “the world felt big, and it was hard to imagine returning to the mountain” (T. Westover 2018, p. 263).

2.3. Positive Liberty and the Reclamation of Experience

At the core of Westover’s intellectual transformation is the critical transition from negative freedom to what I. Berlin (1969) conceptualizes as positive liberty. While negative freedom denotes the mere absence of external restraint or spatial coercion, positive liberty signifies self-mastery; it is the capacity of the individual to act as her own master, governed by rational self-determination rather than external manipulation or internal terror. When Westover leaves Buck's Peak to attend university, she initially gains negative freedom, physically escaping her father's immediate oversight. However, as long as her internal worldview remains governed by her father's dogmatic interpretations and lingering guilt, true agency remains beyond her reach. Her true emancipation occurs only when she reclaims the “authority of experience” through formal intellectual training, converting spatial distance into genuine self-governance.

Education, in B. R. Warnick's (2020) terms, functions in *Educated* precisely as this reconstruction of experience. This process of self-reclamation relies heavily on Westover’s training as a historian at Brigham Young University and Cambridge. Studying historical methodology teaches her that truth is not a static, monolithic decree handed down by a patriarchal figure; instead, historical truth is constructed through the critical evaluation of conflicting primary sources, context, and ideological bias. Watching how historians navigate archival uncertainty equips her with the analytical tools needed to

interrogate the very narratives that had formed her early identity. By applying historical criticism to her own domestic past, she learns that her father's absolute declarations were not objective reality, but subjective, ideologically driven constructs designed to enforce family loyalty.

This methodological shift enables Westover to reclaim her subjective reality from systemic erasure. P. J. Eakin (1999) notes that narrative identity depends upon the individual's capacity to maintain self-authorship in the face of competing social accounts. For Westover, reclaiming experience does not mean constructing a reactionary, faultless version of her past; rather, it means developing the epistemic courage to trust her own perception while acknowledging the limits of memory. By mastering the historical discipline, she moves beyond mere survival, utilizing positive liberty to actively author her own subjectivity. Education, in this light, ceases to be an external academic accolade; it becomes the essential instrument through which the traumatized subject reclaims her mind, asserting her right to interpret her own history.

3. The Historiography of the Self: Memory, Truth, and the Cost of Subjecthood

3.1. The Autobiographical Pact and Substantial Truth

In foundational life-writing theory, P. Lejeune (1989) defines the “autobiographical pact” as an implicit contract between author and reader, wherein the text promises a verifiable alignment between the real author, the narrator, and the historical subject. However, in memoirs emerging from environments of extreme domestic trauma and gaslighting, fulfilling this traditional pact presents a genuine epistemological paradox. When an author's early life has been systematically unmoored by paternal reality denial, strict forensic accuracy

regarding every historical detail becomes nearly impossible. In her memoir, Westover addresses this tension not by feigning absolute recall, but by explicitly foregrounding the instability of her own memory, thereby revising the terms of the autobiographical pact itself.

Narrative theorist P. J. Eakin (1999) makes a related point: autobiographical truth is rarely a simple recording of objective facts but an active, ongoing construction of subjective identity. Westover honors her commitment to truth not by claiming factual infallibility, but by incorporating textual footnotes, conflicting accounts, and explicit acknowledgments of memory gaps into the narrative structure. C. Young (2023) conceptualizes this technique as a mode of re-mimesis, wherein life-writing shifts its focus from literal forensic reconstruction toward what can be termed substantial truth. Substantial truth prioritizes the psychological and emotional reality of coercion and survival, capturing the authentic impact of lived experience even when specific empirical details remain contested by family members.

By deploying this nuanced approach to memory, T. Westover redefines what it means to write truthfully about a traumatized past. Rather than allowing her family's narrative destabilization to invalidate her voice, she transforms the limitations of traumatic memory into an instrument of literary self-authorship. This re-mimetic practice, C. Young (2023) further argues, allows the narrator to construct a coherent self without falling into the dogmatic certainty that characterized her father's household. T. Westover’s autobiographical pact rests on uncommon transparency regarding her own hesitation and fallibility; by revealing how memory is contested, she establishes an ethical, highly sophisticated relationship with her

reader, asserting that subjective truth can be maintained even amidst historical ambiguity.

3.2. Navigating the "Carousel of Contradiction"

The psychological and narrative climax of Westover's life-writing manifests in her confrontation with what she terms the "carousel of contradiction" (T. Westover 2018, p. 383); this phrase describes the perpetual state of narrative instability wherein family members offer mutually exclusive, revisionist accounts of severe domestic trauma. Within the Buck's Peak ecosystem, physical catastrophes, such as Luke's severe gasoline burn and the catastrophic scrapyard injuries, are systematically rewritten by paternal authority to eliminate liability, naturalize negligence, and frame near-fatal incidents as divine interventions. To survive within this environment, family members are compelled to adopt these enforced fictions, resulting in a collective gaslighting process that erases the victim's physical suffering and destabilizes subjective memory.

Preserving psychological coherence amid such pervasive narrative instability, P. J. Eakin (1999) suggests, requires the traumatized subject to develop sophisticated strategies of self-continuity. Rather than attempting to force an artificial harmonization onto these conflicting accounts, Westover applies her rigorous historical training directly to her family's fragmented memory landscape. She approaches her own past not as an absolute, unquestioned truth, but as a complex archive containing conflicting primary witnesses. When documenting Luke's burn, for instance, Westover explicitly presents her father's theological reframing alongside her own vivid recollections and her mother's evasive retellings. By transparently laying out these contradictory narratives in her text and footnotes, she exposes how paternal ideology manipulates history to enforce ideological compliance.

This historiographical approach to family memory represents a significant evolution in her narrative agency. C. Young (2023) notes that by documenting these contradictions without succumbing to them, Westover shifts her narrative strategy from passive victimhood to active critical interpretation. Furthermore, C. Caruth's (1996) theoretical model of trauma illuminates how the act of recording these memory discrepancies functions as a necessary working-through of traumatic shock. Rather than allowing the "carousel of contradiction" to induce cognitive paralysis or self-doubt, T. Westover utilizes historical methodology to hold these conflicting memories in productive tension. In doing so, she demonstrates that claiming epistemic agency does not require erasing historical ambiguity; rather, it requires the intellectual courage to analyze how narrative is weaponized within closed systems and to assert one's own interpretive authority against domestic denial.

3.3. The Grief Work of Subjecthood

The ultimate paradox of Westover's developmental journey lies in the inescapable cost of epistemic agency; in *Educated*, achieving intellectual autonomy requires the painful severance of familial bonds and the deliberate mourning of the original self. S. Freud (1957 [1917]) conceptualizes mourning as the psychological process whereby the ego gradually detaches its libido from a lost object, a labor that requires confronting the painful reality of loss rather than clinging to idealizing illusions. In Westover's life-writing, education functions precisely as this psychoanalytic grief work. Her quest for selfhood does not end in a harmonious reconciliation with her family of origin, nor does it yield a triumphant, painless integration into the academic world. Instead, intellectual awakening forces her to mourn not only the physical loss of her family, but also the cherished illusion of an intact, protective domestic refuge.

Licensed therapist A. Wright (2026) makes a similar point: surviving a closed, traumatizing family system often compels the individual to choose between psychological integration and familial belonging. In T. Westover's case, refusing to capitulate to paternal gaslighting results in her complete ostracization from Buck's Peak. Her father and mother frame her intellectual independence as spiritual corruption, presenting her with an ultimatum that demands either absolute submission or total expulsion. Reclaiming her own memory means accepting this permanent estrangement. Narrative self-authorship, P. J. Eakin (1999) notes regarding the construction of autobiographical identity, often involves a painful negotiation with the social networks that previously defined the subject. For T. Westover, writing her life story becomes the final, formal act of grief work; through the text, she acknowledges that the price of epistemic liberty is the irrecoverable loss of her original home.

By framing education as grief work rather than a simple narrative of upward social mobility, Westover fundamentally redefines the resolution of the female coming-of-age story. Her achievement of subjecthood is defined not by domestic bliss or romantic fulfillment, but by her capacity to endure the grief of estrangement while remaining steadfast in her commitment to truth. She does not erase the pain of lost familial attachment; rather, she integrates that loss into her identity as a historian and writer. In doing so, Westover demonstrates that female epistemic agency is not a gift passively received through institutional access, but an active, hard-won psychological achievement born from the courage to mourn the past and inhabit an autonomous self.

Conclusion

In *Educated*, Tara Westover leaves the American autobiographical tradition changed by dismantling the structural and generic expectations of the female *Bildungsroman*. S. Fraiman's (1993) account of the genre helps explain why this ending feels unconventional: traditional female coming-of-age narratives historically condition maturity upon social integration, emotional compromise, and domestic attachment. Westover systematically subverts this framework, refusing to allow romantic alignment or domestic settlement to serve as the resolution of her developmental journey. Instead, she replaces domestic settlement with what M. Fricker (2007) conceptualizes as epistemic agency, establishing that true coming-of-age for the traumatized female subject consists of claiming the authority to know, interpret, and bear witness to her own lived experience.

Examining the Westover household through a psychoanalytic and clinical lens illuminates how closed communicative systems rely upon systemic gaslighting and severe parentification to enforce enmeshment and suppress individual differentiation. J. L. Herman's (1992) work on complex post-traumatic stress suggests why: surviving prolonged captivity within totalizing domestic institutions requires a profound cognitive and emotional reconfiguration. Westover demonstrates that escaping such an environment entails more than physical departure; it demands an internal movement from negative freedom to positive liberty, achieved through the gradual cultivation of critical thinking. Abstract disciplines like music and physics initially provide non-paternal counterpoints to paternal disorder, while formal historical methodology equips the protagonist with the analytical tools necessary to interrogate domestic dogma and navigate her family's "carousel of contradiction".

Moreover, T. Westover's life-writing offers a crucial contribution to contemporary autobiography theory. By integrating footnotes, memory gaps, and conflicting witness testimonies directly into the text, she expands P. Lejeune's (1989) classical autobiographical pact, shifting the standard of narrative truth from literal forensic reconstruction to substantial emotional accuracy. Her text demonstrates that education is not merely a mechanism for upward social mobility or academic credentialing, but an active, psychoanalytic process of grief work. Reclaiming one's mind inside a fundamentalist system carries an immense price, namely the permanent loss of the original family and the collapse of the domestic sanctuary. By choosing the uncertainty of self-authorship over the comforting fictions of paternal authority, Westover transforms traumatic fragmentation into formal narrative coherence, offering a transformative model of female subjectivity in modern American literature. This reading is not without tension, however: a memoir written by a historian trained to interrogate sources risks retrofitting a coherence onto childhood experience that Complex PTSD, almost by definition, would have made difficult to perceive in the moment. Westover's text does not fully resolve this tension, and it remains an open question worth pursuing elsewhere.

Beyond its literary and narratological contributions, this study highlights vital social and practical implications regarding institutional education, pedagogical practice, and clinical trauma recovery. First, Westover's trajectory provides critical insights for educators and academic institutions dealing with students emerging from radicalized, fundamentalist, or anti-institutional backgrounds. Educational institutions often view student integration primarily through technical literacy or academic credentialing. However, this study demonstrates that for individuals raised within epistemically closed enclaves, learning

requires a deep, often traumatic psychological restructuring. Pedagogical frameworks must recognize that critical thinking is not merely an intellectual skill set, but an existential disruption that threatens the student's foundational familial attachments. Academic mentorship must therefore combine rigorous methodological training with empathetic institutional support, recognizing that acquiring critical agency can induce severe existential isolation and grief.

Second, this research offers clinical utility for trauma practitioners and family systems therapists addressing Complex PTSD resulting from domestic gaslighting and ideological captivity. By illustrating how historical methodology (such as archival evaluation, footnoting, and holding conflicting primary sources in productive tension) enabled Westover to rebuild her cognitive authority, this study suggests that critical inquiry and structured life-writing can serve as effective therapeutic instruments. Reclaiming epistemic agency requires that trauma survivors transition from attempting to enforce an impossible forensic certainty onto an unmoored past toward accepting "substantial truth" and self-authorship.

Finally, on a broader societal level, Westover's memoir exposes the hidden mechanics of extremist domestic isolation in modern democratic societies. It underscores how fundamentalist ideologies leverage the domestic sphere to disenfranchise vulnerable individuals, particularly young women, through compulsory labor and psychological enmeshment. By demonstrating that genuine liberation stems from epistemic self-determination rather than passive rescue, *Educated* serves as a powerful societal blueprint for individual resilience, highlighting education as an essential human right and the primary catalyst for democratic self-governance.

References

ANGELOU Maya, 1969. *I Know Why the Caged Bird Sings*, Random House, New York

BERLIN Isaiah, 1969. *Four Essays on Liberty*, Oxford University Press, London

BOSZORMENYI-NAGY Ivan and SPARK Geraldine M., 1973. *Invisible Loyalties: Reciprocity in Intergenerational Family Therapy*, Harper & Row, New York

BRONTË Charlotte, 1847. *Jane Eyre*, Smith, Elder & Co., London

CARUTH Cathy, 1996. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore

EAKIN Paul John, 1999. *How Our Lives Become Stories: Making Selves*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca

FRAIMAN Susan, 1993. *Unbecoming Women: British Women Writers and the Novel of Development*, Columbia University Press, New York

FREUD Sigmund, 1957 [1917]. « Mourning and Melancholia », in: *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*, J. STRACHEY (ed.), vol. 14, pp. 243-258, Hogarth Press, London

FRICKER Miranda, 2007. *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing*, Oxford University Press, Oxford

HERMAN Judith Lewis, 1992. *Trauma and Recovery: The Aftermath of Violence—From Domestic Abuse to Political Terror*, Basic Books, New York

KOOIJMAN Rebecca, 2020. *Sharing of Narratives: Analyzing How Tara Westover's Educated Subverts the Genre Conventions and the Value of Autobiography in the EFL Classroom*, Master's thesis, Linnaeus University, Växjö

LEJEUNE Philippe, 1989. *On Autobiography*, University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis
MINUCHIN Salvador, 1974. *Families and Family Therapy*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge
VAN DER KOLK Bessel A., 2014. *The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma*, Viking, New York
WARNICK Bryan R., 2020, « Review of Educated by Tara Westover », in: *Philosophical Inquiry in Education*, vol. 27, N°2, 2020, pp. 188-195
WESTOVER Tara, 2018. *Educated*, Random House, New York
WINNICOTT Donald W., 1965, « Ego Distortion in Terms of True and False Self », in : *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies in the Theory of Emotional Development*, pp. 140-152, International Universities Press, New York
WINTERSON Jeanette, 1985. *Oranges Are Not the Only Fruit*, Pandora Press, London
WRIGHT Annie, 2026. « *Educated* Review: A Trauma Therapist Reads Tara Westover », Annie Wright, LMFT [online], anniewright.com, consulted September 2026
YOUNG Collen, 2023. *Memoir and Truth: How the Genre Re-Frames Reality*, Master's thesis, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg